HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE 1812 19th Street, N.W., Washington 9, D.C.

Franchise

HCO BULLETIN OF MARCH 23, AD13

CLEAR & O.T.

DON'T TRY TO MAKE AN O.T. BEFORE YOU MAKE A CLEAR.

One of the enduring observations which has arisen in clearing and which will always remain true is summed up in this line:

DON'T TRY TO MAKE AN O.T. BEFORE YOU MAKE A CLEAR.

Stressing this conclusion is vitally important and will always be important. Why?

In their understandable enthusiams to do "the most for the pc" and to obtain the "highest gamn" auditors tend to get as many RIs and goals as possible. The "face" acquired in making a "third goal clear" also operates.

On the part of the pc there is always some pc pressure to "get on with it" find more RIs, find more goals. There is also "face". "I'm a 3rd goal clear."

The auditor. in his own enthusiasm for more GPMs, heeds the poss protest against case repair and prepchecks and commits the following crime:

WITHOUT MAKING A FIRST GOAL, ATTEMPTS TO MAKE AN O.T.

He does this in gradients. Without making an actual first goal clear, the auditor, with the pc's full insistence makes a "Thitd Goal Clear".

This liaw takes over in the face of such "press on" tactics:

RULE: YOU CANNOT HAVE AN ANY GOAL CLEAR WITOUT CLEARING THE GOAL AND ALL ITS GPM.

To do this it is necessary to observe this rule:

RULE: A GOAL IS NOT CLEAR UNTIL ALL ROCKET READING ITEMS IN THAT GOAL HAVE BEEN FOUND, PROPERLY ALIGNED AND DISCHARGED, AND THE GOAL HAS BEEN FULLY PREPCHECKED.

The next goal is available and easily found, RIs in the next GPM are readily found, there seems to be no reason to waste auditing time by cleaning up the last GPM. This is true of any next GPM.

However, just going on and on carries its penalties.

IF WE PERSIST IN FAILING TO FULLY CLEAR EACH GPM, WE CAN EXPECT A GENERAL BOG DOWN IN ALL OF SCIENTOLOGY.

Why? Because we will all become subject to the very real penalties of failing to clear GPMs before going on.

It is alright to find two RIs into the next GPM and to find its goal. That is as it should be. But it is not alright not to go back and fully, polish up the GPM just left. This is true for all GPMs.

You haven't got a first goal clear if you haven't cleared the first GPM and Goal. So don't announce first goal clears if you haven't cleared fully the first goal. Having the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, etc., goals and some RIs in each still doesn't make a first goal clear.

The following liabilities occur when the GPM just left is not fully cleaned up:

- (1) The pc drags mass from the last GPM into the next GPM;
- (2) Accuracy of RI finding in the next GPM is diminished;
- (3) The pc, being more subject to errors in auditing, is far more likely to heavily ARC break.
- (4) Body mass (weight) does not diminash;

- (5) PC's reality on the next GPM RIs is diminished;
 - (6) A feeling of lassitude (a shadow of the Sad Effect) comes over the pc and he or she does his own work in life with less enthusiasm:
 - (7) The pc's health and actions are better but one does not see what one expects from clearing. Therefore clearing is down graded by the auditor and pc and tthers;
 - (8) The actual soaring gains of clearing are not observed, since the GPM and its goal are not actually cleared but only de-intensified.

Clear tests, which will be issued from time to time, should be scrupulously passed before going on to the actual running of the next bank.

If these simple precautions are observed, clearing is formidable to behold. If they are not observed, then clearing won't be observed - because it hasn't been done.

Don't try to make an Operating Thetan before you make a clear. The results will be far, far below that of just first goal clear.

A lot of time and agony went into discovering these things, I hope you will benefit by them.

L. RON HUBBARD

LRH:dr:jb